The Long Run:

Long-term implications of carbon management practices

Thanks to participants and facilitators: Jennifer and Pennie

How do the short- and long-term implications (I.e. consequences and opportunities) of different reclamation practices impact sustainability?

♦ Temporal scale varies by frame of reference

- > energy company ~ 20 yrs
- > forest products company ~ 50 yrs
- > soil carbon changes > 100 yrs
- > * specify specific time periods for future brainstorming

Choices and implications highly site-specific

- > From one part of state to another
- **Even N vs S facing slopes**
- > * opportunities and responses need to be flexible

Site preparation decisions have major short & longterm implications

- > Tree growth
- > Alternate landuses (e.g. development potential)
- > Environmental metrics (erosion, water)

What are the tradeoffs in managing reclamation sites for carbon sequestration (economic, environmental, social)?

- **Quantification abilities are key**
 - > What needs to be quantified?
 - ✓ Value of sequestration in \$/ton
 - ✓ Value of the full effect of the management change you've made (including biodiversity, erosion control, water quality, reduction of sediment levels in streams, etc).
 - > Full accounting approach, including site carbon, storage in products
 - > Need C sequestration 'supply curves'

♦ Social factors important

- > Age and perspective of land owner
- Landowner culture is (sometimes) predisposed to making the land flat rather than leaving rough for reforestation

Environmental

➤ (NGOs) may find it attractive to invest in a project due to other values like native species, biodiversity, increase habitat. May make otherwise uneconomical treatments possible.

What about the big picture?

- Leakage, permanence, biodiversity, etc.
 - ➤ In general, leakage and permanence less of a problem for reclaimed lands
 - C sequestering practices will build soil fertility, improve environmental quality but optimum CS may not optimize environmental benefits
- \$\to\$ How can we change the culture to be more open to CS options?
 - > Incentives
 - **Education**
 - > Economic analysis
- All parties need to be "progressive thinkers" to see the big picture of benefits preserving biodiversity, permanence, etc.